ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
SBN: 164783	
FAX NO. (Optional):	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiffs, Leonard Whiting and Olivia Hussey	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Los Angeles	
STREET ADDRESS: 1725 MAIN ST.	
MAILING ADDRESS: SANTA MONICA 90401	
CITY AND ZIP CC 2"	
BRANCH NAME: WEST DISTRICT	
PLAINTIFF: LEONARD WHITING AND OLIVIA HUSSEY	
D. D. I. COLD TE DISTRIBUTE CONDON. I WOLL	
DEFENDANT: PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION A DELAWARE CORP	
DOES 1 TO 100	
COMPLAINT—Personal Injury, Property Damage, Wrongful Death	
AMENDED (Number):	
Type (check all that apply):	
MOTOR VEHICLE OTHER (specify): Fraud	
Property Damage Wrongful Death	
Personal Injury    Other Damages (specify): CIV § 3344, etc.	
Jurisdiction (check all that apply):	CASE NUMBER:
ACTION IS A LIMITED CIVIL CASE Amount demanded does not exceed \$10,000	
exceeds \$10,000, but does not exceed \$25,000	
ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (exceeds \$25,000)	
ACTION IS RECLASSIFIED by this amended complaint	
from limited to unlimited	
from unlimited to limited	
Plaintiff (name or names): Leonard Whiting and Olivia Hussey	
alleges causes of action against defendant (name or names):	
Paramount Pictures Corporation  This pleading including attachments and publishing consists of the following number of page.	
<ol> <li>This pleading, including attachments and exhibits, consists of the following number of pag</li> <li>Each plaintiff named above is a competent adult</li> </ol>	65.
a. except plaintiff (name):	
(1) a corporation qualified to do business in California	
(2) an unincorporated entity (describe):	
(3) a public entity (describe):	
(4) a minor an adult	
(a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardi	an ad litem has been appointed
(b) other (specify): (5) other (specify):	
b. except plaintiff (name):	
(1) a corporation qualified to do business in California	
(2) an unincorporated entity (describe):	
(3) a public entity (describe):	
(4) a minor an adult	
<ul> <li>(a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardi</li> </ul>	an ad litem has been appointed
(b) other (specify):	
(b) other (specify):	chment 3. Page 1 of

PLD-PI-001 [Rev. January 1, 2007]

Damage, Wrongful Death

PLD-PI-001 [Rev. January 1, 2007]

Plaintiff is required to comply with a claims statute, and
 a. \_\_\_\_ has complied with applicable claims statutes, or
 b. \_\_\_\_ is excused from complying because (specify):

Damage, Wrongful Death

	PLD-PI-001(3)
SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER
Whiting v. Paramount Pictures Corp.	
First CAUSE OF ACTION—Intention	nal Tort Page4
ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint	
(Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)	
IT-1. Plaintiff (name): Leonard Whiting and Olivia Hussey	
alleges that defendant (name): Paramount Pictures Corp.	
was the legal (proximate) cause of damages to plaintiff. By the following ac caused the damage to plaintiff on (date)Sept. 1968 and cont.	ts or omissions to act, defendant intentionally
at (place)Hollywood, CA	
(description of reasons for liability):	
FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR SEXUAL HARASSMEN	T (CA.CIV.CODE 51.9)
Pleased see attachment IT-1	

Page 1 of 1

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR SEXUAL HARASSMENT (CA. CIV. CODE 51.9)

The 1968 major motion picture, *Romeo and Juliet* ("Romeo & Juliet" or the "Picture") was produced and distributed from Los Angeles, California in 1968 by defendant Paramount Pictures Corporation ("Paramount"). Franco Zeffirelli (deceased) directed the Picture and was the authorized agent of Paramount and sole decision maker with respect to the employment of plaintiff actors and the content of the film.

At the time of filming, Mr. Whiting (Romeo) was a minor child aged 16 years and Ms. Hussey was also a minor child aged 15 years. Plaintiffs were told by Mr. Zefferelli that there would be no nudity filmed or exhibited, and that Plaintiffs would be wearing flesh colored undergarments during the bedroom/love scene. However, on the morning of the shoot of the bedroom scene in the second week of December, 1968, the very last days of the photography, the minor children Plaintiffs were given body make-up and were told by Mr. Zifferelli that they must act in the nude or the Picture would fail. Millions were invested. They would never work again in any profession, let alone Hollywood. Zifferelli showed them where the cameras would be set so that no nudity would be filmed or photographed for use in Romeo & Juliet or anywhere else. Plaintiffs believed they had no choice but to act in the nude with body makeup as demanded on the last days of filming.

Defendants were dishonest and secretly filmed the nude or partially nude minor children without their knowledge, in violation of the state and federal laws regulating indecency and exploitation of minors for profit and including conduct proscribed by Section 51.9 of the Civil Code; 266j of the Penal Code; Section 285 of the Penal Code; paragraph (1) or (2) of subdivision (b), or of subdivision (c), of Section 286 of the Penal Code; subdivision (a) or (b) of Section 288 of the Penal Code; paragraph (1) or (2) of subdivision (b), or of subdivision (c), of Section 287 or of former Section 288a of the Penal Code; subdivision (h), (i), or (j) of Section 289 of the Penal Code; Section 647.6 of the Penal Code; or any prior laws of this state of similar effect at the time the act was committed. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant Paramount and does 1 through 100, and each of them, knew or should have known images of Plaintiffs' nude bodies were secretly and unlawfully obtained during the performance for later use by Paramount and others. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and therefore allege that Paramount engaged in conduct of a sexual nature when they filmed and later permitted the distribution of nude images of Plaintiffs as described above, and that and when Paramount a knew or should have known that those images were obtained through the coercion and/or deception of minors and was unwelcome, pervasive, and/or severe for the purposes of California Civil Code 51.9.

As a direct and proximate result of Defendants and each of their willful, knowing, and intentional violations of California law and otherwise sexually exploiting and harassing Mr. Whiting and Ms. Hussey as herein described, Plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to suffer physical and mental pain, along with extreme and severe mental anguish and emotional distress. Plaintiffs have incurred and will continue to incur medical expenses for treatment by psychotherapists and other health professionals, as well as for other incidental expenses. Plaintiffs have also suffered a lifetime of loss of earnings and other employment benefits and job

opportunities, ad will continue to suffer such losses. Plaintiffs are thereby entitled to general and compensatory, economic and non-economic damages in amounts according to proof, along with disgorgement of the economic benefit to Paramount and Does 1-100, inclusive, which Plaintiffs are informed and believed to be in excess of \$500,000,000 since the Picture was originally released.

As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants and each of their willful, knowing, and intentional violations of California law and otherwise sexually exploiting and harassing Mr. Whiting and Ms. Hussey as herein described, Plaintiffs have been compelled to retain the services of legal counsel in an effort to protect their legal rights, and will continue to incur, legal fees and costs, the full nature and extent of which are presently unknown to Plaintiffs, who therefore will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint in that regard when the same shall become known. Plaintiff requests that attorney and expert witness fees be awarded per code.

	PLD-PI-001(3
SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER
Leonard Whiting, et al. v. Paramount Pictures Corp., et al.	
Second CAUSE OF ACTION—Ir	ntentional Tort Page
ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint	
(Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)	
IT-1. Plaintiff (name): Leonard Whiting and Olivia Hussey	
alleges that defendant (name): Paramount Pictures Corp.	
, and a second	
Does <u>1</u> to <u>100</u>	
was the legal (proximate) cause of damages to plaintiff. By the caused the damage to plaintiff on (date)Sept. 1968 and cont.	following acts or omissions to act, defendant intentionally
at (place Hollywood, CA	
(description of reasons for liability):	
SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR FRAUD	
Pleased see attachment FR-2	

Page 1 of

### Attachment FR-2

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FOR CHILDHOOD SEXUAL ABUSE (CA. CODE OF CIV. PROC. 340.1)

The 1968 major motion picture, *Romeo and Juliet* ("Romeo & Juliet" or the "Picture") was produced and distributed from Los Angeles, California in 1968 by defendant Paramount Pictures Corporation ("Paramount"). Franco Zeffirelli (deceased) directed the Picture and was the authorized agent of Paramount and sole decision maker with respect to the employment of plaintiff actors and the content of the film.

At the time of filming, Mr. Whiting (Romeo) was a minor child aged 16 years and Ms. Hussey was also a minor child aged 15 years. Plaintiffs were told by Mr. Zefferelli that there would be no nudity filmed or exhibited, and that Plaintiffs would be wearing flesh colored undergarments during the bedroom/love scene. However, on the morning of the shoot of the bedroom scene in the second week of December, 1968, the very last days of the photography, the minor children Plaintiffs were given body make-up and were told by Mr. Zifferelli that they must act in the nude or the Picture would fail. Millions were invested. They would never work again in any profession, let alone Hollywood. Zifferelli showed them where the cameras would be set so that no nudity would be filmed or photographed for use in Romeo & Juliet or anywhere else. Plaintiffs believed they had no choice but to act in the nude with body makeup as demanded on the last days of filming.

Defendants were dishonest and secretly filmed the nude or partially nude minor children without their knowledge, in violation of the state and federal laws regulating child sexual abuse and exploitation; 266j of the Penal Code; Section 285 of the Penal Code; paragraph (1) or (2) of subdivision (b), or of subdivision (c), of Section 286 of the Penal Code; subdivision (a) or (b) of Section 288 of the Penal Code; paragraph (1) or (2) of subdivision (b), or of subdivision (c), of Section 287 or of former Section 288a of the Penal Code; subdivision (h), (i), or (j) of Section 289 of the Penal Code; Section 647.6 of the Penal Code; or any prior laws of this state of similar effect at the time the act was committed. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant Paramount and does 1 through 100, and each of them, knew or should have known images of Plaintiffs' nude bodies were secretly and unlawfully obtained during the performance for later use by Paramount and others. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and therefore allege that Paramount engaged in child sexual abuse when they filmed and later permitted the distribution of nude images of Plaintiffs when Paramount a knew or should have known that those images were nude images of adolescent children.

As a direct and proximate result of Defendants and each of their willful, knowing, and intentional violations of California law and otherwise sexually exploiting and harassing Mr. Whiting and Ms. Hussey as herein described, Plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to suffer physical and mental pain, along with extreme and severe mental anguish and emotional distress. Plaintiffs have incurred and will continue to incur medical expenses for treatment by psychotherapists and other health professionals, as well as for other incidental expenses. Plaintiffs have also suffered a lifetime of loss of earnings and other employment benefits and job opportunities, ad will continue to suffer such losses. Plaintiffs are thereby entitled to general and

compensatory, economic and non-economic damages in amounts according to proof, along with disgorgement of the economic benefit to Paramount and Does 1-100, inclusive, which Plaintiffs are informed and believed to be in excess of \$500,000,000 since the Picture was originally released.

As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants and each of their willful, knowing, and intentional violations of California law and otherwise sexually exploiting and harassing Mr. Whiting and Ms. Hussey as herein described, Plaintiffs have been compelled to retain the services of legal counsel in an effort to protect their legal rights, and will continue to incur, legal fees and costs, the full nature and extent of which are presently unknown to Plaintiffs, who therefore will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint in that regard when the same shall become known. Plaintiff requests that attorney and expert witness fees be awarded per code.

D----

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FOR CHILDHOOD SEXUAL ABUSE (CA. CODE OF CIV. PROC. 340.1)

The 1968 major motion picture, *Romeo and Juliet* ("Romeo & Juliet" or the "Picture") was produced and distributed from Los Angeles, California in 1968 by defendant Paramount Pictures Corporation ("Paramount"). Franco Zeffirelli (deceased) directed the Picture and was the authorized agent of Paramount and sole decision maker with respect to the employment of plaintiff actors and the content of the film.

At the time of filming, Mr. Whiting (Romeo) was a minor child aged 16 years and Ms. Hussey was also a minor child aged 15 years. Plaintiffs were told by Mr. Zefferelli that there would be no nudity filmed or exhibited, and that Plaintiffs would be wearing flesh colored undergarments during the bedroom/love scene. However, on the morning of the shoot of the bedroom scene in the second week of December, 1968, the very last days of the photography, the minor children Plaintiffs were given body make-up and were told by Mr. Zifferelli that they must act in the nude or the Picture would fail. Millions were invested. They would never work again in any profession, let alone Hollywood. Zifferelli showed them where the cameras would be set so that no nudity would be filmed or photographed for use in Romeo & Juliet or anywhere else. Plaintiffs believed they had no choice but to act in the nude with body makeup as demanded on the last days of filming.

Defendants were dishonest and secretly filmed the nude or partially nude minor children without their knowledge, in violation of the state and federal laws regulating child sexual abuse and exploitation; 266j of the Penal Code; Section 285 of the Penal Code; paragraph (1) or (2) of subdivision (b), or of subdivision (c), of Section 286 of the Penal Code; subdivision (a) or (b) of Section 288 of the Penal Code; paragraph (1) or (2) of subdivision (b), or of subdivision (c), of Section 287 or of former Section 288a of the Penal Code; subdivision (h), (i), or (j) of Section 289 of the Penal Code; Section 647.6 of the Penal Code; or any prior laws of this state of similar effect at the time the act was committed. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant Paramount and does 1 through 100, and each of them, knew or should have known images of Plaintiffs' nude bodies were secretly and unlawfully obtained during the performance for later use by Paramount and others. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and therefore allege that Paramount engaged in child sexual abuse when they filmed and later permitted the distribution of nude images of Plaintiffs when Paramount a knew or should have known that those images were nude images of adolescent children.

As a direct and proximate result of Defendants and each of their willful, knowing, and intentional violations of California law and otherwise sexually exploiting and harassing Mr. Whiting and Ms. Hussey as herein described, Plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to suffer physical and mental pain, along with extreme and severe mental anguish and emotional distress. Plaintiffs have incurred and will continue to incur medical expenses for treatment by psychotherapists and other health professionals, as well as for other incidental expenses. Plaintiffs have also suffered a lifetime of loss of earnings and other employment benefits and job opportunities, ad will continue to suffer such losses. Plaintiffs are thereby entitled to general and

compensatory, economic and non-economic damages in amounts according to proof, along with disgorgement of the economic benefit to Paramount and Does 1-100, inclusive, which Plaintiffs are informed and believed to be in excess of \$500,000,000 since the Picture was originally released.

As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants and each of their willful, knowing, and intentional violations of California law and otherwise sexually exploiting and harassing Mr. Whiting and Ms. Hussey as herein described, Plaintiffs have been compelled to retain the services of legal counsel in an effort to protect their legal rights, and will continue to incur, legal fees and costs, the full nature and extent of which are presently unknown to Plaintiffs, who therefore will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint in that regard when the same shall become known. Plaintiff requests that attorney and expert witness fees be awarded per code.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR APPROPRIATION OF NAME AND LIKENESS (CA. CIVIL CODE 3344)

The 1968 major motion picture, *Romeo and Juliet* ("Romeo & Juliet" or the "Picture") was produced and distributed from Los Angeles, California in 1968 by defendant Paramount Pictures Corporation ("Paramount"). Franco Zeffirelli (deceased) directed the Picture and was the authorized agent of Paramount and sole decision maker with respect to the employment of plaintiff actors and the content of the film.

At the time of filming, Mr. Whiting (Romeo) was a minor child aged 16 years and Ms. Hussey was also a minor child aged 15 years. Plaintiffs were told by Mr. Zefferelli that there would be no nudity filmed or exhibited, and that Plaintiffs would be wearing flesh colored undergarments during the bedroom/love scene. However, on the morning of the shoot of the bedroom scene in the second week of December, 1968, the very last days of the photography, the minor children Plaintiffs were given body make-up and were told by Mr. Zifferelli that they must act in the nude or the Picture would fail. Millions were invested. They would never work again in any profession, let alone Hollywood. Zifferelli showed them where the cameras would be set so that no nudity would be filmed or photographed for use in Romeo & Juliet or anywhere else. Plaintiffs believed they had no choice but to act in the nude with body makeup as demanded on the last days of filming.

Defendants were dishonest and secretly filmed the nude or partially nude minor children without their knowledge, in violation of the state and federal laws regulating appropriation, child sexual abuse and exploitation including without limitation Section 3344 of the Civil Code; 266j of the Penal Code; Section 285 of the Penal Code; paragraph (1) or (2) of subdivision (b), or of subdivision (c), of Section 286 of the Penal Code; subdivision (a) or (b) of Section 288 of the Penal Code; paragraph (1) or (2) of subdivision (b), or of subdivision (c), of Section 287 or of former Section 288a of the Penal Code; subdivision (h), (i), or (j) of Section 289 of the Penal Code; Section 647.6 of the Penal Code; or any prior laws of this state of similar effect at the time the act was committed. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant Paramount and does 1 through 100, and each of them, knew or should have known images of Plaintiffs' nude bodies were secretly and unlawfully obtained during the performance for later use by Paramount and others. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and therefore allege that Paramount engaged in unlawful appropriation of name and likeness when they filmed and later permitted the distribution of nude images of Plaintiffs when Paramount a knew or should have known that those images were nude images of adolescent children.

As a direct and proximate result of Defendants and each of their willful, knowing, and intentional violations of California law and otherwise sexually exploiting and harassing Mr. Whiting and Ms. Hussey and appropriation of their name and likeness as herein described, Plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to suffer physical and mental pain, along with extreme and severe mental anguish and emotional distress. Plaintiffs have incurred and will continue to incur medical expenses for treatment by psychotherapists and other health professionals, as well as for other incidental expenses. Plaintiffs have also suffered a lifetime of loss of earnings and

other employment benefits and job opportunities, ad will continue to suffer such losses. Plaintiffs are thereby entitled to general and compensatory, economic and non-economic damages in amounts according to proof, along with disgorgement of the economic benefit to Paramount and Does 1-100, inclusive, which Plaintiffs are informed and believed to be in excess of \$500,000,000 since the Picture was originally released.

As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants and each of their willful, knowing, and intentional violations of California law and otherwise sexually exploiting and harassing Mr. Whiting and Ms. Hussey for their name and likeness as herein described, Plaintiffs have been compelled to retain the services of legal counsel in an effort to protect their legal rights, and will continue to incur, legal fees and costs, the full nature and extent of which are presently unknown to Plaintiffs, who therefore will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint in that regard when the same shall become known. Plaintiff requests that attorney and expert witness fees be awarded per code.

Dans 4 of 4

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

The 1968 major motion picture, *Romeo and Juliet* ("Romeo & Juliet" or the "Picture") was produced and distributed from Los Angeles, California in 1968 by defendant Paramount Pictures Corporation ("Paramount"). Franco Zeffirelli (deceased) directed the Picture and was the authorized agent of Paramount and sole decision maker with respect to the employment of plaintiff actors and the content of the film.

At the time of filming, Mr. Whiting (Romeo) was a minor child aged 16 years and Ms. Hussey was also a minor child aged 15 years. Plaintiffs were told by Mr. Zefferelli that there would be no nudity filmed or exhibited, and that Plaintiffs would be wearing flesh colored undergarments during the bedroom/love scene. However, on the morning of the shoot of the bedroom scene in the second week of December, 1968, the very last days of the photography, the minor children Plaintiffs were given body make-up and were told by Mr. Zifferelli that they must act in the nude or the Picture would fail. Millions were invested. They would never work again in any profession, let alone Hollywood. Zifferelli showed them where the cameras would be set so that no nudity would be filmed or photographed for use in Romeo & Juliet or anywhere else. Plaintiffs believed they had no choice but to act in the nude with body makeup as demanded on the last days of filming.

Defendants were dishonest and secretly filmed the nude or partially nude minor children without their knowledge, in violation of the state and federal laws regulating appropriation, child sexual abuse and exploitation including without limitation Section 3344 of the Civil Code; 266j of the Penal Code; Section 285 of the Penal Code; paragraph (1) or (2) of subdivision (b), or of subdivision (c), of Section 286 of the Penal Code; subdivision (a) or (b) of Section 288 of the Penal Code; paragraph (1) or (2) of subdivision (b), or of subdivision (c), of Section 287 or of former Section 288a of the Penal Code; subdivision (h), (i), or (j) of Section 289 of the Penal Code; Section 647.6 of the Penal Code; or any prior laws of this state of similar effect at the time the act was committed. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant Paramount and does 1 through 100, and each of them, knew or should have known images of Plaintiffs' nude bodies were secretly and unlawfully obtained during the performance for later use by Paramount and others. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and therefore allege that Paramount engaged in unlawful appropriation of name and likeness when they filmed and later permitted the distribution of nude images of Plaintiffs when Paramount a knew or should have known that those images were nude images of adolescent children.

As a direct and proximate result of Defendants and each of their willful, knowing, and intentional violations of California law and otherwise sexually exploiting and harassing Mr. Whiting and Ms. Hussey and appropriation of their name and likeness as herein described, Plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to suffer physical and mental pain, along with extreme and severe mental anguish and emotional distress. Plaintiffs have incurred and will continue to incur medical expenses for treatment by psychotherapists and other health professionals, as well as for other incidental expenses. Plaintiffs have also suffered a lifetime of loss of earnings and

other employment benefits and job opportunities, ad will continue to suffer such losses. Plaintiffs are thereby entitled to general and compensatory, economic and non-economic damages in amounts according to proof, along with disgorgement of the economic benefit to Paramount and Does 1-100, inclusive, which Plaintiffs are informed and believed to be in excess of \$500,000,000 since the Picture was originally released.

As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants and each of their willful, knowing, and intentional violations of California law and otherwise sexually exploiting and harassing Mr. Whiting and Ms. Hussey for their name and likeness as herein described, Plaintiffs have been compelled to retain the services of legal counsel in an effort to protect their legal rights, and will continue to incur, legal fees and costs, the full nature and extent of which are presently unknown to Plaintiffs, who therefore will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint in that regard when the same shall become known. Plaintiff requests that attorney and expert witness fees be awarded per code.

Page 1 of

Pleased see attachment GN-1

## Attachment GN-1

# SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENCE

The 1968 major motion picture, *Romeo and Juliet* ("Romeo & Juliet" or the "Picture") was produced and distributed from Los Angeles, California in 1968 by defendant Paramount Pictures Corporation ("Paramount"). Franco Zeffirelli (deceased) directed the Picture and was the authorized agent of Paramount and sole decision maker with respect to the employment of plaintiff actors and the content of the film.

At the time of filming, Mr. Whiting (Romeo) was a minor child aged 16 years and Ms. Hussey was also a minor child aged 15 years. Plaintiffs were told by Mr. Zefferelli that there would be no nudity filmed or exhibited, and that Plaintiffs would be wearing flesh colored undergarments during the bedroom/love scene. However, on the morning of the shoot of the bedroom scene in the second week of December, 1968, the very last days of the photography, the minor children Plaintiffs were given body make-up and were told by Mr. Zifferelli that they must act in the nude or the Picture would fail. Millions were invested. They would never work again in any profession, let alone Hollywood. Zifferelli showed them where the cameras would be set so that no nudity would be filmed or photographed for use in Romeo & Juliet or anywhere else. Plaintiffs believed they had no choice but to act in the nude with body makeup as demanded on the last days of filming. Paramount owed a duty to protect their minor children employees from child and sexual exploitation.

Defendants were dishonest and secretly filmed the nude or partially nude minor children without their knowledge, in violation of the state and federal laws regulating indecency and exploitation of minors for profit and including conduct proscribed by Section 51.9 of the Civil Code; 266j of the Penal Code; Section 285 of the Penal Code; paragraph (1) or (2) of subdivision (b), or of subdivision (c), of Section 286 of the Penal Code; subdivision (a) or (b) of Section 288 of the Penal Code; paragraph (1) or (2) of subdivision (b), or of subdivision (c), of Section 287 or of former Section 288a of the Penal Code; subdivision (h), (i), or (j) of Section 289 of the Penal Code; Section 647.6 of the Penal Code; or any prior laws of this state of similar effect at the time the act was committed. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant Paramount and does 1 through 100, and each of them, knew or should have known images of Plaintiffs' nude bodies were secretly and unlawfully obtained during the performance for later use by Paramount and others. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and therefore allege that Paramount engaged in conduct of a sexual nature when they filmed and later permitted the distribution of nude images of Plaintiffs as described above, and that and when Paramount a knew or should have known that those images were obtained through the coercion and/or deception of minors and was unwelcome, pervasive, and/or severe, such that Paramount breached its duty as described above.

As a direct and proximate result of Defendants and each of their willful, knowing, and intentional violations of California law and otherwise sexually exploiting and harassing Mr. Whiting and Ms. Hussey as herein described, Plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to suffer physical and mental pain, along with extreme and severe mental anguish and emotional distress. Plaintiffs have incurred and will continue to incur medical expenses for treatment by psychotherapists and other health professionals, as well as for other incidental expenses.

Plaintiffs have also suffered a lifetime of loss of earnings and other employment benefits and job opportunities, ad will continue to suffer such losses. Plaintiffs are thereby entitled to general and compensatory, economic and non-economic damages in amounts according to proof, along with disgorgement of the economic benefit to Paramount and Does 1-100, inclusive, which Plaintiffs are informed and believed to be in excess of \$500,000,000 since the Picture was originally released.

Page 1 of

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES (CA. BUS. & PROF CODE 17200)

Plaintiffs are informed and believe that all of the Defendants have engaged in unlawful, unfair, and deceptive business practices in connection with the commercial sexual exploitation of the nude images of Plaintiffs, which were created while Plaintiffs were minors. Through such commercial exploitation, Defendants have earned profits and have intensified and extended the sexual abuse of Plaintiffs that began while Plaintiffs were filmed and/or photographed while nude or partially nude during the production of the Picture. Defendants' wrongful conduct and unfair business practices also include their failure to prevent the sexual exploitation of Plaintiffs, their concealment of that exploitation, and their deliberate action to profit from that exploitation.

Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants have engaged in a common scheme, arrangement, or plan to effect the sexual abuse of Plaintiffs, to conceal such abuse, and to profit from such abuse. By engaging in such unlawful, unfair, and deceptive business practices, Defendants have benefitted financially to the detriment of their competitors and to the detriment of Plaintiffs. Unless restrained, Defendants will continue to engage in the unlawful, unfair, and deceptive business practices that are alleged in this complaint, resulting in great and irreparable harm to Plaintiffs and others.

Plaintiffs seek restitution for all amounts improperly obtained by Defendants through their exploitation of the unlawful, unfair, and deceptive business practices that are alleged in this Complaint. Pursuant to § 17203 of the California Business and Professions Code, and pursuant to this Court's general and inherent equitable authority, Plaintiffs are entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, enjoining Defendants from continuing the unlawful, unfair, and deceptive business practices that are alleged in this Complaint. In addition, Plaintiffs seek the appointment of a court monitor to enforce this Court's orders, and Plaintiffs are entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees according to the California Business and Professions Code and to § 1021.5 of the California Code of Civil Procedure.

RT TITLE: nard Whiting, et al. v. Paramount Pictures Corp., et al.	CASE NUMBER:		
Exemplary Damages Attach	ment	Page _	24
ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint			
EX-1. As additional damages against defendant (name):			
Plaintiff alleges defendant was guilty of malice fraud			
oppression as defined in Civil Code section 3294, and plaintiff should recover, in ad-	lition to natural d	omogos domog	
to make an example of and to punish defendant.	ation to actual d	amages, damag	es
EX-2. The facts supporting plaintiff's claim are as follows:			
and each of them, as described herein, was done with fraud, conscious disregard for Plaintiffs' rights, and with the inte Mr. Whiting and Ms. Hussey. Plaintiff is further informed, Defendant Paramount, by and through its owners, sharehold agents and/or their supervisors, authorized, condoned and/o actions and inactions such as repackaging what is essentially and then reselling that poisonous product for a profit over the Hussey since 1968. The time for this must be up. The known of minor children minors is the worst of behaviors in our so reason thereof, Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to punitive or are informed and believe exceed \$100,000,000.	nt, design and believes, and lers, subsidiar ratified the u y pornograph the objections ring and reper ciety and must	d purpose of i d thereon alleg- ries, officers, i unlawful cond y and evidence of Mr. Whitinated use of ser- st be eradicate	njuring bot ges that managing duct by thei se of a crim ng and Ms. xual image ed. By